

赵旭东 张 洁

内容提要

“ ”

20

“ ”

20 20

一、国外法律人类学理论简史

Mailinowski

1927

19 60

Maine,H.S

BK.

1861
1954

1954 Adamson E.Hoebel^[1]

1748

19 J.J Bachofen 1871

1861 Lewis H.Morgan 1877 1884

20 20

1926^[3] Joan Vincent 1940 1950^[4]

2. 40 40 50

60 70

80^[5] 20 40

" " 2 ~ ~

Max Cluckman 1955 Paul Bohannan 1957

Leo Pospisil 1958^[6]

" " 20 60 Laura Nader^[7]

9 2 5 ^ g A

“ ” “ ”

roff& Roberts 1981 ^[1] 80 Coma-

“ ” “ ”

3. “ ”

20 80

Eric Wolf

“ ” Snyder,1981

1981 “ ”

“ ^[2] 80 “ ”

“ 1985 “ - “ ”

1989 June Starr Jane F.Collier

^[3]

“ ” “ ”

1981

[1]

1999

[2]

“ ”

1832-1895 2006

John B .Grant 20 20

“ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ”

[3] “ ” [4]

“ ” “ ” “ ”

“ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ”

“ ”

- Evans-Pritchard

Victor

Turner

“ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ”

“ ” “ ”

“ ” [5] “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ”

“ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ”

“ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ” “ ”

2011 “ ”

“ [6]

[1][5] 1998 11-14 74

[2] “ ” 1999 4

[3][4] “ ” “ ” 1832-1895 2006

136-137 136-137

[6] “ ” 2011 156

1996 “ ” “ ” [1]
“ ” [2] [3] 1988 “ ” “ ”
“ ” “ ”
1988 [4] 1949 “ ” “ ”
“ ” “ ” [5]
1998
“ ” “ ” “ ”
“ ” [6]
1984 1986
1997 1996 2008

2.

“ ” “ ” — Marcel Mauss “
Claude Levi-Strauss
1926
“ ” [7]

1996

[8]

-
- [1] 1996
[2] — 2011 159
[3] 1988
[4] — 1900-1942
[5][6] — 1998
[7] “ ” 1998 74
[8] 1996 55-56

“ ”^[1] M

1996
“ ”
[1] “ ”
“ ”
“ ”
[2] “ ” “ ” “ ”
9
2000
2005
1998
“ ”
[3] 2003
2000
[4]

2011

[1]

“

” [2]

“

” [3]

2011

“

“

”

9

”

\$

F

” [4]

[5]

[1] 1994 1997

" " " "

[2]

1998 [3]

1998

[4]

" "

"

" " " "

" " " " " "

" " " "

[5]

20 70 80

" " [6] " "

" [7]

2011

[8]

三、范式、技术与方法

Raymond Firth 1938 " " 1996

[1] 1994 62-63

[2] 1997

[3] 1998 1

[4] " " 1998 2

[5]Geert, Clifford, 1983, Local knowledge: Further Essays in Interpretive Anthropology.Basic Books, Inc. p.183.

[6] ———

2005 2

[7] " " ———

2003 111

[8] 2011 4

四、问题与展望

“ ”

“ ” “ ” “ ”

“ ” “ ”

“ ” “ ” “ ”

2016 “ ”

“ [1]

“ ”

“ ” [2]

“ ”

“ ”

2016 “

” [1]

From Foreign Studies to Local Studies: the Reality and the Development of Local Studies in China' s Legal Anthropology

Zhao Xudong Zhang Jie

Abstract: Legal anthropology is to study legal rules in “ the other ” culture from the perspective of anthropology. By reviewing foreign literature in legal anthropology, the present article maintains that legal anthropology, as a subdiscipline, has undergone the initial interaction of disciplines and discoveries, the establishment of legal ethnographic field research, and the mature development of four paradigm shifts, revealing the trends and the characteristics of the development of legal anthropology. Since the late 20th century, Chinese legal anthropology, after several shifts in research paradigm and skips in subjects, has gradually generated some types of ethnography in legal anthropology with distinctive perspectives and subjects. Nowadays, the discipline of legal anthropology, full of vitality, is open to multi-disciplinary combination.

Keywords: legal anthropology; a short history of development; research paradigm

[1]