
510275

510275

[1]

[1]Green EGT. 2009. Who can enter? A multilevel analysis on public support for immigration criteria across 20 European countries. *Group Process. Intergroup Relat.* 12(1):41- 60.

ATII

[1] 2015

ATII

ATII

ATII

[2][3]

[4]

[5][6] Mayda

[7]

[8][9]

Hamberger Hewstone

1

2

3

[10]

McLaren

[1]UN Popul. Div. 2009. International Migration Report 2006: A Global Assessment. NewYork: UN Publ.

[2]PettigrewTF. 2000. Systematizing the predictors of prejudice. See Sears et al. 2000, pp. 280- 301.

[3]Sides J, Citrin J. 2007. European opinion about immigration: the role of identities, interests, and information. Brit J. Polit. Sci. 37:477- 504.

[4]Hainmueller J, Hiscox MJ. 2007. Educated preferences: explaining attitudes toward immigration in Europe. Int. Organ. 61:399- 442

[5] 2009

4

[6]Coenders M, Scheepers P. 2003. The effect of education on nationalism and ethnic exclusionism: an international comparison. Polit. Psychol. 24(2):313- 43.

[7]Mayda AM. 2006. Who is against immigration? A cross- country investigation of individual attitudes toward immigrants. Rev Econ Stat 88(3):510- 530.

[8]PettigrewTF. 1998. Reactions toward the new minorities of Western Europe. Annu. Rev. Sociol. 24:77- 103.

[9]Dovidio JF, Gaertner S, Kawakami K, Hodson G. 2002. Why can't we just get along? Interpersonal biases and interracial distrust. Cult. Divers. Ethnic Minority Psychol. 8(2):88- 102.

[10]Hamberger, J and Hewstone, M, 1997. Inter- ethnic contact as a predictor of blatant and subtle prejudice: tests of a model in four West European nations. British Journal of Social Psychology, vol. 36, no. 2, pp.173- 90.

[1] Escandell

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5] Green 20

[6][7] Quillian, 1996; Scheepers et al., 2002 Quillian

ATII

ATII [8]

(Cebanu 2009)

1.

ATII

ATII

[1] McLaren, Lauren M. 2003. Anti-immigrant prejudice in Europe: contact, threat perception, and preferences for the exclusion of migrants. *Social Forces*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 909-36.

[2] Escandell X, Cebanu AM. 2009. When contact with immigrants matters: threat, interethnic attitudes and foreigner exclusionism in Spain's Comunidades Autonomas. *Ethn. Racial Stud.* 32(1):44-69.

[3][8] Cebanu, Alin M. and Xavier Escandell. 2010. Comparative Analyses of Public Attitudes toward Immigrants and Immigration Using Multinational Survey Data: A Review of Theories and Research. *Annual Review of Sociology* 36:309-328.

[4] Green EGT. 2009. Who can enter? A multilevel analysis on public support for immigration criteria across 20 European countries. *Group Process: Intergroup Relat.* 12(1):41-60.

[5] Jackson, L. M., & Esses, V. M. (2000). Effects of perceived economic competition on people's willingness to help empower immigrants. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 3, 419-435.

[6] Quillian L. 1995. Prejudice as a response to perceived threat: population composition and anti-immigrant and racial prejudice in Europe. *Am. Sociol. Rev.* 60(4):586-611.

[7] Scheepers P, Gijsberts M, Coenders M. 2002a. Ethnic exclusionism in European countries: public opposition to civil rights for legal migrants as a response to perceived group threat. *Eur. Sociol. Rev.* 18(1):17-34.

[1]

ATII

[2][3]

ATII

ATII

ATII

ATII.

2.

ATII

[4]

[1]Escandell X, Ceobanu AM. 2009. When contact with immigrants matters: threat, interethnic attitudes and foreigner exclusionism in Spains Comunidades Autonomas. *Ethn. Racial Stud.* 32(1):44- 69.

[2]

2009

4

[3]Coenders M, Scheepers P. 2003. The effect of education on nationalism and ethnic exclusionism: an international comparison. *Polit. Psychol.* 24(2):313- 43.

[4]

2008 2


[1]

[2]

[3]

ATII

1



1

1

2a

[1]

1

[2]

[3]

2b

2c

2d

3a

3a

,

3b

3c

3b

3c

D

		1									
		N=515									
		1	(263	0	(252)						
		0		335	1		180				
		0		98	1			134	2		281
		0	19	1		76	2	40	3	142	4
			126							17	5
										89	6
		1		5000	44	2		108	3	166	4
				(29)	6		(10)	7		(20)	
		0	406	1				40	2	49	3
		0			373	1		130			20

2 1

ATII

7

3 0 1 2

GDP

3.

teffects aipw

1.

2
9

1

2

	0.7856	-0.1524	0.0106
	0.717	-0.2177	0.1891
	-0.2594	0.7468	0.0041
	-0.1122	0.7897	-0.1356
	-0.0259	0.8519	-0.1064
	0.7302	-0.0795	0.1267
	0.0512	-0.0993	0.8484
	0.2311	-0.0852	0.818
	0.7538	-0.0421	0.2393
	2.36916	2.00297	1.52784
	0.2632	0.2226	0.1698

3

	-0.0336	-0.0234	-0.00706	-0.0074	-0
	0.00033	0.000249	0.00004	0.00005	
	0.0603	0.0569	0.0704	0.0621	
	-0.177*	-0.0938	-0.0497	-0.0705	
		0.108	0.167	0.158	
		0.267*	0.353**	0.359**	
			-0.00331	-0.0036	
				0.03	
				-0.13	
				0.274	
)	0.737*	0.298	-0.0607	-0.0475	
	513	511	458	458	
R-squared	0.021	0.028	0.028	0.033	

3

4

	0.0643***	0.0680***	0.0414	0.0321	0.0215
	-0.000614*	-0.000657**	-0.000301	-0.000179	-0.00005
	-0.0775	-0.0859	-0.12	-0.124	-0.118
	-0.136	-0.124	-0.141	-0.200*	-0.186*
		0.06	-0.076	-0.0391	-0.031
		0.0535	-0.11	-0.0338	-0.0381
			0.157***	0.155***	0.136***
				0.530***	0.540***
				0.14	0.131
				0.163	0.124
					0.230**
	-1.284***	-1.396***	-1.290***	-1.221**	-1.029**
R-squared	513	511	458	458	448
	0.057	0.059	0.104	0.122	0.132

1
2 ***p<0.01 **p<0.05 *p<0.1

4

52.4

52

U

01

3a,

3d

3e

[1] 2 3
7

3. [1] 3
2010

(Augmented inverse- probability weighting AIPW)

IPW

AIPW IPW

$$T_{aipw} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{r_i t_i y_i}{e(x_i)} - \frac{r_i (1 - t_i) y_i}{(1 - e(x_i))} + \frac{t_i - e(x_i)}{e(x_i)(1 - e(x_i))} \frac{1 - e(x_i)}{x_i} E(r_i y_i | x_i = 1, x_i) + \frac{e(x_i)}{x_i} E(r_i y_i | x_i = 1, x_i)$$

$$\frac{r_i t_i y_i}{e(x_i)}$$

5 tteffects aipw

neo Imbens

[2][3]

Catta

		Coef.
ATE		
vs		0.7754778***
vs		0.174048
VS		-0.0113412
		1.836701***

5

1.836701

0.7754778

3

***p<0.01 **p<0.05 *p<0.1

[1]

2009


4

[2] Cattaneo, M. D. 2010. Efficient semiparametric estimation of multi-valued treatment effects under ignorability. *Journal of Econometrics* 155: 138-154.

[3] Imbens, G. W. 2000. The role of the propensity score in estimating dose-response functions. *Biometrika* 87: 706-710.

3
sumption, x
2

overlap as



2

GDP

[责任编辑:方心清]