

---

[1]

[2]

---

[1]Nee, Victor, A Theory of Market Transition: From Redistribution to Market in State Socialism , *American Sociology Review*, 1989, 54(5), pp.663–681.

[2]

2005 4

7200

[1]

95.5% 6900

[2]

-

[3]

[4]

-

-

political orientation

[5]

[6]

[1]

2017 4

[2]

2015

12

[3]

2012 1

2017 1

[4]

2008 2

2011 2

[5]Hetherington, Marc J., The Political Relevance of Political Trust , *American Political Science Review*, 1998, 92(4), pp.791-808.

[6]Citrin, Jack, Comment: The Political Relevance of Trust in Government , *American Political Science Review*, 1974, 68 (3), pp.973-988.

---

[1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

[8]

[9]

[10]

[1] Mishler, W., Rose, R., What Are the Origins of Political Trust? Testing Institutional and Cultural Theories in Post-Communist Europe. *Comparative Political Studies*, 2001

Už

---

[1]

[2]

[3]

family political socialization

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

marital status

marriage gap

[8]

[9]

[10]

---

[1]Bourdieu, P., *The Logic of Practice*, Cambridge: Polity Press, 1990.

[2]Hyman H., *Political Socialization*, New York: Free Press, 1959;  
1998 2

[3]Davies, James C., The Family's Role in Political Socialization , *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 1965, 361(1), pp.10–19.

[4]Jennings, M. Kent, The Intergenerational Transfer of Political Ideologies in Eight Western Nations , *European Journal of Political Research*, 1984, 12(3), pp.261–276.

[5]Ventura, R., Family Political Socialization in Multiparty Systems , *Comparative Political Studies*, 2001, 34(6), pp.666–691.

[6]Lipset, S. M., Bendix, R., *Social Mobility in Industrial Society*, Berkeley: University of California Press. 1959.

[7]Kelley, Jonathan, Social Mobility and Politics in the Anglo–American Democracies , in Frederick C. Turner (eds.) , *Social Mobility and Political Attitudes: Comparative Perspectives*, New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers, 1992, pp.21–49.

[8]Kingston, P. W., Finkel, S. E., Is There a Marriage Gap in Politics? , *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 1987, 49(1), pp.57–64; Weisberg, Herbert F., The Demographics of a New Voting Gap: Marital Differences in American Voting , *The Public Opinion Quarterly*, 1987, 51(3), pp.335–343.

[9]

2017 3

[10]

2017 1

1

1

[1]

[2]

[3]

[4]

[5]

[6]

2

2

H2a

H2b

[7]

3

[8]

[1] : 2005 4

[2] 2016 11

[3] 2018 5

[4] 60

2011 6

[5]Federico, C. M., When Do Welfare Attitudes Become Racialized? The Paradoxical Effects of Education , *American Journal of Political Science*, 2004, 48(2), pp.374-391; Campbell, C., Horowitz, J., Does College Influence Sociopolitical Attitudes? , *Sociology of Education*, 2016, 89(1), pp.40-58.

[6] 2009 6

[7] 2017

4

[8] 2013 7



[1]

[2]

[3]

843

1

[4]

KMO=0.713

Cronbach's alpha=0.819

2

14

1

0

1

0

Weisberg

political marriage gap

[5]

1

0

KMO=0.642 Cronbach's alpha=0.612

1

[1] c383843-29086442.html

<http://tyzx.people.cn/n1/2017/0216/>

[2]

<http://tyzx.people.cn/n1/2017/0216/c383843-29086452.html>

[3]

<http://tyzx.people.cn/n1/2017/0216/c383843-29086458.html>

[4]


2011

1

2014

2

[5]Weisberg, Herbert F., The Demographics of a New Voting Gap: Marital Differences in American Voting , *The Public Opinion Quarterly*



- [1] 2018 4
- [2] 2015 1
- [3] 1 5

0.624 / KMO

[4]Balch, G. I., Multiple Indicators in Survey Research: The Concept Sense of Political Efficacy , *Political Methodology*, 1974, 1(2), pp.1-43.

- [5]
- [6]

p<0.01

1

3

3

		3			3		
		2.1	2.2	2.3	3.1	3.2	3.3
		0.060 (0.116)	0.019 (0.115)	0.020 (0.115)	0.211** (0.065)	0.209** (0.065)	0.208** (0.065)
		-0.366** (0.111)	-0.357** (0.110)	-0.349** (0.110)	0.019 (0.068)	0.022 (0.069)	0.012 (0.068)
		0.364** (0.121)	0.280* (0.122)	0.269* (0.122)	0.216* (0.089)	0.210* (0.090)	0.179* (0.090)
			0.201** (0.058)	0.197** (0.058)		0.033 (0.038)	0.030 (0.038)
				0.097 (0.125)			0.206* (0.080)
		-0.486** (0.172)	-0.510** (0.170)	-0.500** (0.170)	-0.087 (0.109)	-0.084 (0.109)	-0.047 (0.110)
		-0.029 (0.065)	-0.018 (0.064)	-0.021 (0.064)	-0.083* (0.040)	-0.082* (0.040)	-0.083** (0.040)
		0.249*** (0.066)	0.244*** (0.065)	0.241*** (0.066)	0.254*** (0.037)	0.253*** (0.037)	0.241*** (0.038)
		-0.144* (0.066)	-0.117† (0.061)	-0.120* (0.061)	-0.309*** (0.042)	-0.304*** (0.043)	-0.311*** (0.042)
		-0.208† (0.114)	-0.158 (0.114)	-0.156 (0.114)	0.085 (0.071)	0.084 (0.071)	0.075 (0.071)
		0.076 (0.134)	0.066 (0.132)	0.067 (0.132)	0.010 (0.062)	0.010 (0.062)	0.010 (0.062)
		-0.169 (0.176)	-0.167 (0.173)	-0.170 (0.174)	-0.015 (0.102)	-0.009 (0.103)	-0.005 (0.102)
		-0.101 (0.105)	-0.057 (0.104)	-0.048 (0.105)	0.158* (0.061)	0.163** (0.061)	0.167** (0.061)
		0.005 (0.005)	0.002 (0.005)	0.003 (0.005)	0.005! (0.0027)	0.005 (0.003)	0.005 (0.003)
		1.002* (0.614)	0.981 (0.605)	0.920 (0.611)	2.378*** (0.428)	2.354*** (0.429)	2.261*** (0.429)
F		8.32	8.82	8.23	13.91	12.89	12.52
R		0.183	0.206	0.205	0.155	0.155	0.161
BIC		1148.56	1142.294	1147.642	2108.404	2114.369	2114.448
N		393	393	393	843	843	843

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, †p<0.1

[1]

2.1~2.3

H2a

H2b

2.3 p<0.05

H3a

3.1~3.3

4

4

4						
			[1]			
	0.095**	(0.035)	26.11	0.036*	(0.017)	16.88
	0.083**	(0.032)	86.93%	0.006	(0.008)	15.65%
	0.012	(0.017)	13.07%	0.031*	(0.015)	84.35%
N	393			843		

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05, †p<0.1

KHB

4

p<0.01

26.11%

2.3 BIC

R

H3b

H3c

16.88%

3.2

[1]

[2]

